

CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN & HAMILTON LLP  
Zachary Tschida (State Bar No. 344994)  
1841 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, California 94304  
Telephone: 650-815-4113  
Facsimile: 650-815-4199  
ztschida@cgsh.com

Elsbeth Bennett (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Carl Lawrence Malm (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
2112 Pennsylvania Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20037  
Telephone: 202-974-1500  
Facsimile: 202-974-1999  
ebennett@cgsh.com  
lmalm@cgsh.com

*Counsel for Non-Party Sony Interactive  
Entertainment LLC*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
MICROSOFT CORP., et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-cv-02880-JSC

**NON-PARTY SONY  
INTERACTIVE  
ENTERTAINMENT LLC'S  
CONSENTED MOTION FOR AN  
EXTENSION OF TIME**

The Honorable Jacqueline Scott  
Corley

## I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3, Non-Party Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC (“SIE”) requests that this Court grant an extension of time for SIE to file certain statements in support of sealing under Civil Local Rule 79-5(f). The Parties have consented to this request. The Court recently granted a similar motion for another Non-Party. *See* ECF 190.

## II. BACKGROUND

As detailed in previous SIE filings, SIE produced confidential business material in response to a civil investigative demand and subpoenas in the underlying FTC administrative proceeding, *In re Microsoft/Activision Blizzard*, No. 9412 (F.T.C.), which material has been used by the Parties in numerous filings and hearing exhibits in the present action. SIE has already filed motions and statements in support of sealing with respect to all exhibits and deposition designations, as well as certain filings that contain SIE confidential information. *See* ECF Nos. 134, 172, 195. As relevant to the present motion, SIE confidential business material is also included in three additional Party filings for which SIE has not yet filed statements:

- On June 16, 2023, Defendants filed their Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 106-3) and corresponding Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should Be Sealed (“Administrative Motion”) (ECF No. 107, subsequently corrected at ECF No. 110). Defendants did not identify the SIE-related redactions in this brief until June 22. Decl. of L. Malm ¶ 5.
- On June 20, 2023, the FTC filed its Reply to Defendant’s Opposition to Preliminary Injunction Motion (ECF No. 131) and corresponding Administrative Motion (ECF No. 132). The FTC has not identified the SIE-

1 related redactions in this brief as of the filing of the present motion. Decl. of  
2 L. Malm ¶ 8.

- 3 • On June 22, 2023, Defendants filed their Proposed Pretrial Findings of Fact  
4 and Conclusions of Law (ECF No. 177) and corresponding Administrative  
5 Motions (ECF No. 179).

6 **III. ARGUMENT**

7 Civil Local Rule 79-5(f)(3) requires a non-party whose confidential material  
8 has been filed under seal (the “Designating Party”) to file a statement regarding the  
9 filing party’s Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material  
10 Should be Sealed. Ordinarily, the Designating Party has seven days to file such a  
11 statement. In order to do so, however, the Designating Party must know which of  
12 its material has been used, which will not be apparent from the redacted filing  
13 available on the public docket.

14 Here, likely due to the press of the expedited proceedings, the Parties have  
15 not been able to provide Non-Party SIE with the necessary materials with perfect  
16 timeliness. (Defendants did not provide SIE with the SIE-related redactions in their  
17 Opposition brief until June 22, six days after the filing was docketed, and the FTC  
18 has still not provided SIE with the SIE-related redactions in its Reply brief). *See*  
19 *Malm Decl. Ex. 1; see also ECF 188-1.* To allow SIE time to review these  
20 materials and prepare its filing, SIE requests the Court grant an extension to  
21 Thursday, June 29, 2023. This deadline will also align SIE’s deadlines to file  
22 statements with respect to Defendants’ Opposition and FTC’s Reply to the existing  
23 deadline to file its statement with respect to Defendants’ Proposed Findings of Fact,  
24 permitting SIE to make a consolidated filing with respect to all three statements  
25 thus conserving SIE and Court resources.

1 SIE also believes it will be more efficient for the Court to consider a  
 2 consolidated filing, rather than iterative filings on similar subject matter. Granting  
 3 the motion will not impact the progress of the hearing as SIE has already made  
 4 filings related to the exhibits and deposition designations that relate to the goings  
 5 on in the courtroom. *See* ECF 172, 195.

#### 6 **IV. CONCLUSION**

7 For the foregoing reasons, SIE respectfully requests that the Court grant  
 8 SIE's motion and extend its time to file statements regarding ECF Nos. 110 and  
 9 132 to Thursday, June 29, 2023.

10  
 11 Dated: June 23, 2023

12  
 13 Respectfully submitted,

14 CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN &  
 HAMILTON LLP

15 /s/ Carl Lawrence Malm  
 16 Elsbeth Bennett (*pro hac vice*)  
 Carl Lawrence Malm (*pro hac vice*)  
 2112 Pennsylvania Ave. NW  
 17 Washington, DC 20037  
 Telephone: 202-974-1500  
 18 Facsimile: 202-974-1999  
 ebennett@cgsh.com  
 19 lmalm@cgsh.com

20 Zachary Tschida (State Bar No.  
 344994)  
 1841 Page Mill Road  
 21 Palo Alto, California 94304  
 Telephone: 650-815-4113  
 22 Facsimile: 650-815-4199  
 23 ztschida@cgsh.com

24  
 25 *Counsel for Non-Party Sony Interactive*  
 26 *Entertainment LLC*